

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/001,934	11/15/2001	Zoltan Nagy	GPCG-P01-003	8886
28120	7590 10/15/2002			
ROPES & GRAY			EXAMINER	
ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE BOSTON, MA 02110-2624			CANELLA, KAREN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1642	10
	,		DATE MAILED: 10/15/2002	(\mathcal{O})

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Examiner

Applicant(s)

10/001,934

Art Unit

1642

Nagy et al



Karen Canella -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 30 days MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. 4) X Claim(s) 1-116 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) U Claim(s) ______ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _______ is/are objected to. 8) X Claims 1-116 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. __ 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/001,934 Page 2

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-38, 43, 55, 56, 59-63, 66-95 and 109-115, drawn to compositions comprising a polypeptide comprising an antibody-based antigen-binding domain which specifically binds to an antigen expressed on the surface of a human cell, wherein treating said cell with said composition results in the killing of said cell without cytotoxic entities or immunological mechanisms; kits thereof; and, methods for conducting a business comprising licensing, jointly developing, selling the rights to sell and marketing said composition, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1 and 391.1.
 - II. Claims 39-42, 45-47, 97-100 and 116, drawn to a nucleic acid comprising a protein coding sequence of the antigen-binding domain of the polypeptide of Group I or a multivalent polypeptide, thereof, vectors and host cells harboring said nucleic acid, methods for recombinantly producing a multivalent polypeptide, classified in class 536, subclass 23.53 and class 435, subclass 69.6, 69.7, 320.1, 326.
 - III. Claims 44, 48-54, 57, 64, 65, 96, and 101-108, drawn to use of the compositions of claims 1-6 for the treatment of animals, use of the compositions of claims 66-69 for the treatment of animals, methods for killing a cells and methods for suppressing activation of immune cells, both methods comprising the administration of the composition of Group I, classified in class 424, subclasses 130.1 and 178.1.
 - IV. Claim 58, drawn to a method for identifying patients who can be treated with the composition of Group I, classified in class 435, subclass 4.

Application/Control Number: 10/001,934 Page 3

Art Unit: 1642

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Groups I and II are structurally and functionally different products which are made by different methods and have different uses. The examination of all groups would require different searches in the U.S. Patent Shoes and the scientific literature and would require the consideration of different patentability issues.

The methods of Groups III and IV differ in method objectives, method steps and in the parameters and reagents used.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. Inventions I and IV are also related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the composition of Group I can be used in either method of Group III or Group IV, additionally, the composition of Group I can be used in a process to make an anti-idiotypic antibody.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter and because the searches required for the groups are not co-extensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - a. The tumor cells and cell lines as recited in claims 12-16 and 51,

Application/Control Number: 10/001,934

Art Unit: 1642

- b. The clones recited in claims 22, 23, 67, 80, 81 and 115, and
- c. The disorders recited in claims 53, 54, 106 and 107.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, from a, b and c, for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-11, 17-21, 24, 26, 28, 30-50, 52, 55, 57-66, 68-79, 82, 84, 88-105, 108, -114 and 116 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1642

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen Canella whose telephone number is (703) 308-8362. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa, can be reached on (703) 308-3995. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Marin A. Ganilla____ Karen A. Canella, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner, Group 1642

October 14, 2002